

[To Index](#)

ROY J. AND LUCILLE A. CARVER COLLEGE OF MEDICINE
POLICIES AND PROCEDURES CONCERNING
FACULTY APPOINTMENTS AND EVALUATION

Approved by Provost 5/19/95
Updated as approved 8/15/95
Approved by Provost 6/10/02
Approved by Provost 10/21/05
Approved by Provost 12/12/06 (appendix VI revised)
Approved by Provost and faculty June, 2007 (tenure clock)
Approved by Provost and faculty December, 2008 (research track)
Approved by Provost November 2010(track change defined; appendix VI revised)
Approved by Provost July, 2012 (general update and update for post tenure review policy)
Approved by Provost May 1, 2014
Approved by Provost May 3, 2017

INDEX

- I. [Qualifications for Specific Ranks](#)
 - A. [Tenure Track Appointments](#)
 - 1. [Instructor](#)
 - 2. [Assistant Professor](#)
 - 3. [Associate Professor](#)
 - 4. [Professor](#)
 - B. [Clinical Track Appointments \(salaried\)](#)
 - 1. [Assistant Professor](#)
 - 2. [Associate Professor](#)
 - 3. [Professor](#)
 - C. [Research Track](#)
 - 1. [Assistant Professor](#)
 - 2. [Associate Professor](#)
 - 3. [Professor](#)
 - D. [Other Non-Tenure Track Appointments](#)
 - 1. [Adjunct Clinical Track Appointments](#)
 - 2. [Adjunct Appointments](#)
 - 3. [Other Non-Tenure Track Appointments](#)
 - a. [Associate](#)
 - 4. [Visiting Faculty](#)
- II. [Procedure for Appointment](#)
- III. [Joint Appointment](#)
- IV. [Review of Faculty](#)
 - A. [Tenure and Tenure Track Faculty](#)
 - B. [Clinical Track Faculty \(Salaried\)](#)
 - C. [Research Track Faculty](#)
 - D. [Department Chairs](#)
 - E. [Materials to be Reviewed](#)

- F. [Personal Assessment](#)
 - G. [Process of Review](#)
- V. [Promotion](#)

Appendix I	Teaching Defined
Appendix II	Scholarship Defined
Appendix III	Academic Service Defined
Appendix IV	Professional Service Defined
Appendix V	Clinical Service
Appendix VI	Operational Guidelines for the Tenure Track
Appendix VII	Operational Guidelines for the Salaried Clinical Faculty Track
Appendix VIII	Operational Guidelines for the Non-salaried Clinical Faculty Track
Appendix IX	Carver College of Medicine Policy on Oral Communication Skills of Faculty and Teaching Assistants and Proficiency Standards for Teaching Assistants
Appendix IXa	University of Iowa Policy on Oral Communication and Competence
Appendix IXb	University of Iowa Policy on Teaching Proficiency Standards for Teaching Assistants
Appendix X	Standards of Clinical Competences
Appendix XI	Operational Criteria for Professional Productivity
Appendix XII	Procedural Guidelines for Five Year Peer Review Process of Tenured Faculty
Appendix XIII track faculty	Materials for annual review process for clinical track, research track and probationary tenure

ROY J. AND LUCILLE A CARVER COLLEGE OF MEDICINE
POLICIES AND PROCEDURES CONCERNING
FACULTY APPOINTMENTS, EVALUATION AND PROMOTION

I. Qualifications for Specific Ranks

A. Tenure Track Appointments

The College aspires to appoint and promote faculty in the tenure track who are committed to the achievement of excellence in teaching, scholarship, and service. Faculty in the tenure track have the responsibility to teach (see Appendix I) and may achieve promotion by emphasizing scientific inquiry or scholarship in their clinical or professional discipline (see Appendix II).

Tenure track faculty members who do not have patient care responsibilities have the same six-year tenure clock as most of the rest of the University faculty. Tenure track faculty members with patient care responsibilities have an eight year tenure clock. Faculty with patient care responsibilities are defined as faculty in clinical departments who are participants in the University of Iowa Physicians group (UIP).

1. Instructor

- a. He or she must show promise of ability as a teacher
(See Appendix I).
- b. He or she must be working towards the completion of the doctorate or its equivalent.
- c. He or she must be actively involved in scholarly research and other significant professional activities.
- d. If the faculty member is responsible for the medical care of patients, he or she must have a license to practice medicine in Iowa and must demonstrate competence as a physician. Competence as a physician will be established by the hospital staff process of reviewing clinical credentials and granting hospital privileges. Individuals other than physicians involved in the care of patients should meet the criteria established by hospital bylaws and any relevant state licensure requirements.
- e. The term of appointment is for one year. Reappointment is not automatic, but requires departmental review of the faculty member's performance and a recommendation based upon the member's performance in teaching, scholarship and service.

2. Assistant Professor **without** patient care responsibilities

- a. He or she must show promise of ability as a teacher.
(See Appendix I).
- b. He or she must hold the doctorate or its equivalent.
- c. He or she must show promise of scholarly productivity. This can be accomplished by publications, clinical reports, presentations or other work of a professional nature (See Appendix II).

[Return to Index](#)

- d. The initial term of appointment is typically for three years, although it may be for a shorter period of time. Reappointment is not automatic, but requires departmental review of the faculty member's performance and a recommendation based upon the evaluation of the faculty member's performance in teaching, scholarship and service. (See Review of Faculty, Section IV, #s A.1., B.1., and B.2.)
 - e. Faculty members not to be promoted at the end of six years in the combined ranks of Instructor and Assistant Professor will be given a one-year terminal appointment.
3. Assistant Professor **with** patient care responsibilities
- a. He or she must show promise of ability as a teacher. (See Appendix I).
 - b. He or she must hold the doctorate or its equivalent.
 - c. He or she must show promise of scholarly productivity. This can be accomplished by publications, clinical reports, presentations or other work of a professional nature (See Appendix II).
 - d. If the faculty member is responsible for the medical care of patients, he or she must have a license to practice medicine in Iowa and must demonstrate competence as a physician. Competence as a physician will be established by the hospital staff process of reviewing clinical credentials and granting hospital privileges. Individuals other than physicians involved in the care of patients should meet the criteria established by hospital bylaws and any relevant state licensure requirements. The faculty member must be a participant in UIP.
 - e. The initial term of appointment is typically for four years, although it may be for a shorter period of time. Reappointment is not automatic, but requires departmental review of the faculty member's performance and a recommendation based upon the evaluation of the faculty member's performance in teaching, scholarship and service. (See Review of Faculty, Section IV, #s A.1., B.1., and B.2)
 - f. Faculty members not to be promoted at the end of eight years in the combined ranks of Instructor and Assistant Professor will be given a one-year terminal appointment.
 - g. Within the initial five years of appointment in the tenure track, a faculty member may request to be appointed in the non-tenure clinical track. The department may initiate the appointment by justifying to the Dean the non-tenure track faculty position, and by meeting University requirements. An individual may, as a rule, be appointed to the tenure track only once during his or her career in the Carver College of Medicine (See Appendix VI).
4. Associate Professor
- a. He or she must have a convincing record of teaching effectiveness, including a record of successful direction of the work of doctoral candidates, fellows or residents where applicable (See Appendix I).
 - b. He or she must show evidence of establishing a record of productive scholarship that can be supported by publications or the equivalent. (See Appendix II).
- [Return to Index](#)
- c. Departmental, collegiate, and/or University service and, if appropriate, professional service will be expected at an appropriate level (Appendix III and IV)
 - d. If the faculty member is responsible for the medical care of patients, he or she must have a license to practice medicine in Iowa and must demonstrate competence as a

physician. Competence as a physician will be established by the hospital staff process of reviewing clinical credentials and granting hospital privileges.

- e. Candidate must have shown such capacity that he or she will continue to strive for excellence in teaching, service, and scholarly pursuit with the clear expectation that he or she will be able to attain the rank of Professor. (See Appendices I, II, III, IV and V).
- f. If a faculty member is promoted to the rank of Associate Professor from within the University of Iowa, tenure is granted automatically. However, initial appointments to these ranks offered to those not on the faculty of the University of Iowa should, whenever possible, be made as probationary appointments for three years.
- g. When a potential faculty member holds a tenured rank at another university, an initial appointment with tenure may be made. In cases where a potential faculty member has not had previous academic experience, but on the grounds of distinguished professional or other scholarly contributions merits the rank of Associate Professor, the initial appointment without tenure should be for 3 years or less. Recognition is given to the fact that 3 years may not be enough time to establish a record of teaching and scholarship sufficient to grant tenure. Accordingly, reappointments without tenure at the Associate Professor rank may be given provided the total probationary period at this University does not exceed six years. If the decision is made to withhold tenure after an evaluation in the sixth year of probationary service at this University as Associate Professor, the faculty member should be given a one-year terminal appointment.
- h. In cases where a potential faculty member has not had previous academic experience and the faculty member will have clinical responsibilities, then the initial appointment without tenure should be for four years or less. Accordingly, reappointments without tenure at the Associate Professor rank may be given provided the total probationary period at this University does not exceed eight years. If the decision is made to withhold tenure after an evaluation in the eighth year of probationary service at this University as Associate Professor, the faculty member should be given a one-year terminal appointment.

[Return to Index](#)

5. Professor

- a. He or she must be recognized as having a continued record of effective teaching success, including a record of successful direction of the work of doctoral candidates, fellows, or residents where applicable (See Appendix I).
- b. He or she must have an established record of productive scholarship supported by substantial publication or the equivalent, with unmistakable evidence of recognition by peers at either the national level, international level, or both. (See Appendices II, III, and V).
- c. Departmental, collegiate, and/or University service and, if appropriate, professional service will be expected at an appropriate level (Appendix III and IV).
- d. If the faculty member is responsible for the medical care of patients, he or she must have a license to practice medicine in Iowa and must demonstrate competence as a physician. Competence as a physician will be established by the hospital staff process of reviewing clinical credentials and granting hospital privileges. Individuals other than physicians involved in the care of patients should meet the criteria established by hospital bylaws and any relevant state licensure requirements.
- e. The rank of Professor will not be considered to be a reward for long and acceptable service (See Appendix VI).

- f. If a faculty member is promoted to the rank of Professor from within the University, tenure is granted automatically. However, initial appointment to this rank offered to those not on the faculty of the University of Iowa should, whenever possible, be made as probationary appointments for three years. The individual must agree in writing to a three-year non-tenured appointment.
- g. When a potential faculty member holds a tenured rank at another university, an initial appointment with tenure may be made. In cases where a potential faculty member has not had previous academic experience, but on the grounds of distinguished professional or other scholarly contributions merits the rank of Professor, the initial appointment without tenure should be for three years or less. Recognition is given to the fact that three years may not be enough time to establish a record of teaching and scholarship sufficient to grant tenure. Accordingly, reappointments without tenure at the Professor rank may be given provided the total probationary period at this University does not exceed six years. If the decision is made to withhold tenure after an evaluation in the sixth year of probationary service at this University as Professor, the faculty member should be given a one-year terminal extension of appointment.
- h. In cases where a potential faculty member has not had previous academic experience and the faculty member will have clinical responsibilities, then the initial appointment without tenure should be for four years or less. Accordingly, reappointments without tenure at the Professor rank may be given provided the total probationary period at this University does not exceed eight years. If the decision is made to withhold tenure after an evaluation in the eighth year of probationary service at this University as Professor, the faculty member should be given a one-year terminal appointment.

[Return to Index](#)

B. Clinical Track Appointments (salaried)

The College aspires to appoint and promote faculty in the clinical track who are committed to the achievement of excellence in teaching, clinical service, and one or more other areas of professional productivity.

Clinical track faculty hold service positions through which they contribute to the clinical service, teaching, and/or outreach missions of the College, and hold faculty rank at instructor, assistant professor, associate professor, or professor. Clinical track faculty are not eligible for tenure.

Salaried clinical track faculty members are persons who have faculty career positions, and who make their primary contributions through clinical service and instruction in the context of this service. (See Appendices V and VI). No more than 75% of the total salaried College faculty may hold such appointments. The titles of these faculty members shall contain the modifier "clinical," preceding the rank [e.g. Clinical Professor] in their professional correspondence.

Promotion in this track is based on accomplishments in three areas: the direct provision of clinical service, teaching in the context of clinical service, and professional productivity.

Professional productivity encompasses activities utilizing the faculty member's professional expertise, and that are in addition to the direct provision of clinical service and teaching in that context (see Appendix XI).

The categories of activities to be considered include:

- Professional service (see Appendix IV)
- Teaching (Appendix I - all listed activities except #3, which is teaching in the context of clinical care)
- Academic service (Appendix III)
- Written scholarship (Appendix II)

Clinical track faculty are not eligible for tenure. (See Operations Manual Part III, Division I, Chapter 10.9.b, and Appendix VI and VIII.) Individuals with a clinical track appointment at any rank may apply for appointment to the tenure track following the usual appointment procedures (See Section II). An individual may, as a rule, be appointed to the tenure track only once during his or her career in the Carver College of Medicine.

These requirements should be interpreted using the following guidelines:

- 1) While written scholarship may help satisfy this requirement, it is not required for promotion in this track. The type of written scholarship that will be considered as evidence for promotion in this track is broad. In addition to peer reviewed research reports, it includes, for example, high quality review articles, case reports, clinical commentaries, textbook chapters, and policy documents prepared for the institution, the faculty member's discipline, or government bodies.
- 2) Promotion can be supported by a variety of professional productivity profiles. For example, some faculty will primarily be involved in a single area, such as education, clinical scholarship, or clinical administration. Other faculty will pursue activities in several of these areas. In all cases, a recommendation for promotion should be based on the quality of the activities, not just the quantity.
- 3) Although most faculty members in this track will continue to spend the majority of their effort throughout their career in direct clinical service and teaching, some individuals may not. These faculty members, by mutual decision with the institution, will focus their effort in a specific sphere of professional productivity (for example, as a clinical department, hospital or collegiate administrator, curriculum director, funded clinical investigator, etc). When such individuals are considered for promotion, these activities should be the primary focus of the evaluation as long as there has been demonstration of the appropriate level of expertise in direct clinical service and teaching since the original appointment.

1. Clinical Instructor

- a. He or she must hold the doctorate or its equivalent
- b. He or she must show promise of ability in service, to include clinical service.
- c. He or she must show promise of ability to contribute to teaching through the provision of service (See Appendix I).
- d. If the faculty member is responsible for the medical care of patients, he or she must have a license to practice medicine in Iowa and must demonstrate competence as a physician. Competence as a physician will be established by the hospital staff process of reviewing clinical credentials and granting hospital privileges. Individuals other than physicians involved in the care of patients should meet the criteria established by hospital bylaws and any relevant state licensure requirements.
- e. The term of appointment is one year. Reappointment is not automatic, but requires departmental review of the faculty member's performance in teaching and clinical service, and a recommendation based upon that performance. Reappointment will be for two years.

[Return to Index](#)

2. Clinical Assistant Professor

- a. He or she must hold the doctorate or its equivalent, except in the Department of Physician Assistant Studies where the master's degree or its equivalent is the minimum degree required.
- b. He or she must show promise of excellent clinical service, as judged by peers and/or supervisors (see Appendix V).
- c. He or she must show promise of ability as a teacher (See Appendix I).

- d. If the faculty member is responsible for the medical care of patients, he or she must have a license to practice medicine in Iowa and must demonstrate competence as a physician. Competence as a physician will be established by the hospital staff process of reviewing clinical credentials and granting hospital privileges. Individuals other than physicians involved in the care of patients must meet the criteria established by hospital bylaws and any relevant state licensure requirements.
- e. The initial term of appointment is one to three years. Reappointment is not automatic, but requires full departmental review of the faculty member's performance and a recommendation based upon the evaluation of the faculty member's performance in teaching and clinical service. (See Review of Faculty, IV.A.).

Prior to reappointment or promotion, a full-scale departmental-collegiate review will be made. After a positive review, the faculty member will receive an appointment of 1 to 7 years. After a negative review, the department will generally recommend non-renewal of the contract.

Termination during the term of the appointment must be for failure to meet written standards of competence and performance (see Appendix X) established by departments and approved by the College. A decision not to renew an appointment may be for failure to meet the written standards of competence and performance, or for changed economic circumstances or program needs such that the position itself is terminated. Non-renewal may only occur at the conclusion of an appointment. Notice of non-renewal must carry appropriate notice, as defined in Operations Manual Part III Division I Chapter 10.9 h.1.c

- f. There is no maximum period of time by which promotion must be achieved in this track (Appendix VII)

[Return to Index](#)

3. Clinical Associate Professor

- a. He or she must hold the doctorate or its equivalent except in the Department of Physician Assistant Studies, where the master's degree or its equivalent is the minimum degree required.
- b. He or she must have an acknowledged record of excellent clinical service, as judged by peers (see Appendix V).
- c. He or she must have an acknowledged record of teaching success in the context of clinical service, including a record of successful direction of the work of students, fellows or residents where applicable (see Appendix I).
- d. He or she must show evidence of progress toward a record of professional productivity that can be supported by clear documentation of the quantity and quality of activities, and as judged by peers.
- e. If the faculty member is responsible for the medical care of patients, he or she must have a license to practice medicine in Iowa and must demonstrate competence as a physician. Competence as a physician will be established by the hospital staff process of reviewing clinical credentials and granting hospital privileges. Individuals other than physicians involved in the care of patients must meet the criteria established by hospital bylaws and any relevant state licensure requirements.
- f. The term of appointment is 1-3 years if the initial appointment was to this rank, or 1 to 7 years following reappointment or promotion. Reappointment is renewable based on departmental review of the faculty member's performance and a recommendation based upon the evaluation of the faculty member's performance in

teaching and clinical service. After a negative review, the department will generally recommend non-renewal of the contract.

Termination during the term of the appointment must be for failure to meet written standards of competence and performance (see Appendix X) established by departments and approved by the College. A decision not to renew an appointment may be for failure to meet the written standards of competence and performance, or for changed economic circumstances or program needs such that the position itself is terminated. Non-renewal may only occur at the conclusion of an appointment. Notice of non-renewal must carry appropriate notice, as defined in Operations Manual Part III Division I Chapter 10.9 h.1.c

- g. There is no maximum period of time by which promotion must be achieved in this track.

[Return to Index](#)

4. Clinical Professor

- a. He or she must hold the doctorate or its equivalent except in the Department of Physician Assistant Studies where the master's degree or its equivalent is the minimum degree required.
- b. He or she must have an acknowledged record of exemplary clinical service, as judged by peers (see Appendix V).
- c. He or she must have an acknowledged record of continued teaching success, including a record of successful direction of the work of students, fellows, or residents where applicable (See Appendix I).
- d. He or she must have an established record of professional productivity as demonstrated by clear documentation of the quantity and quality of activities, and as judged by peers. Evidence of successful leadership in one or more spheres of professional productivity is highly desirable (see Appendix VI). Finally, there must be unmistakable evidence of recognition by peers at the state, regional, national, or international level (see Appendix IV, VI and VII).
- e. If the faculty member is responsible for the medical care of patients, he or she must have a license to practice medicine in Iowa and must demonstrate competence as a physician. Competence as a physician will be established by the hospital staff process of reviewing clinical credentials and granting hospital privileges. Individuals other than physicians involved in the care of patients must meet the criteria established by hospital bylaws and any relevant state licensure requirements.
- f. At the rank of Professor, the term of appointment is 1-3 years if the initial appointment was to this rank, or 1 to 7 years following reappointment or promotion. Reappointment is renewable based on departmental review of the faculty member's performance and a recommendation based upon the evaluation of the faculty member's performance in teaching and clinical service. After a negative review, the department will generally recommend non-renewal of the contract.

Termination during the term of the appointment must be for failure to meet written standards of competence and performance (see Appendix X) established by departments and approved by the College. A decision not to renew an appointment may be for failure to meet the written standards of competence and performance, or for changed economic circumstances or program needs such that the position itself is terminated. Non-renewal may only occur at the conclusion of an appointment. Notice of non-renewal must carry appropriate notice, as defined in Operations Manual Part III Division I Chapter 10.9 h.1.c.

- g. The rank of professor will not be considered to be a reward for long and acceptable service (see Appendix VII)

[Return to Index](#)

C. Research Track Appointments

The College aspires to appoint and promote faculty in the research track who are committed to the achievement of excellence in research.

Research-track faculty members hold positions through which they contribute primarily to the research mission of the University and hold faculty rank at assistant professor, associate professor, or professor. Research-track faculty are not eligible for tenure. No more than 10% of the total salaried tenure or tenure track College faculty may hold such appointments. The titles of these faculty members shall contain the modifier "research," preceding the rank [e.g. Research Professor] in their professional correspondence

Research-track faculty members cannot vote on the hiring of tenure/tenure-track or clinical-track faculty, nor can they vote on the promotion or tenure decisions of that faculty. They will, however, be eligible to participate in the appointment, reappointment, promotion and peer review of other research track faculty. They may compete for internal funding opportunities as defined by collegiate and University guidelines. They may participate on research related committees and may serve on oversight committees for research core facilities. They will not be eligible to vote on collegiate policies except for those directly related to the research track (for example written standards for competence and performance for the research track faculty members).

Research-track faculty will devote almost all of their time to performing externally supported research and are expected to submit or assist in the submission of research grants. Teaching is an essential function of all faculty members, but in the case of the research-track faculty it would occur predominantly in the form of teaching in the laboratory setting or service on doctoral committees with the approval of the Graduate College. Research-track faculty cannot be primary mentors of doctoral students because this is the role of the tenure/tenure-track faculty (including serving as a co-chair with a tenure-track faculty member). Research-track faculty cannot be assigned to teach courses, but they could be assigned, as needed, to provide auxiliary lectures on areas of knowledge relevant to their research or to engage in other teaching as may be required by the terms of their research grant or contract. Research-track faculty cannot be assigned to perform administrative functions with little or no research obligations as that assignment would be inconsistent with this policy. Research-track faculty members may apply for open positions in the tenure track, but they may only be appointed to the tenure track one time during their career at The University of Iowa.

Research-track faculty are sought and appointed through recruitment processes used to appoint tenure-track faculty. They are persons who have faculty appointments and who make their primary contributions through research.

Initial appointments for research-track faculty are one to three years in duration. After three years or prior to that if a promotion is contemplated, a full-scale, departmental-collegiate review will be made. This review should take into account the research-track faculty member's effectiveness in fulfilling the research mission and the ability of the research-track faculty member to obtain and sustain extramural salary support. It should also include an evaluation of the departmental and collegiate research goals and the likely role of the research-track faculty member in the future in achieving those goals.

After approval of the departmental-collegiate review, research-track faculty will receive terms of appointment consistent with established procedures for non-tenure track university employees; however, appointments cannot be for a period longer than current external support for that faculty member. New letters of offer will be required at the time of reappointment.

Research-track faculty will be reviewed on a schedule commensurate with their appointments, according to written standards of competence and performance defined by their college and departments. Re-appointments are only to be made if the research faculty member has a demonstrated record of successfully obtaining external support to fund the research-track faculty member's research.

[Return to Index](#)

1. Research Assistant Professor
 - (a) He or she must hold the doctorate or its equivalent
 - (b) He or she must show evidence of productive scholarship

- (c) He or she must show evidence of ability to fulfill relevant responsibilities in the research enterprise
2. Research Associate Professor
 - (a) He or she must hold the doctorate or the equivalent.
 - (b) He or she must show evidence of establishing a record of productive scholarship that can be supported by sustained extramural research funding and salary support
 - (c) He or she must show evidence of fulfillment of important responsibilities in the research enterprise.
 3. Research Professor
 - (a) He or she must hold the doctorate or the equivalent.
 - (b) He or she must have a record of research excellence, including a record of continued sustained research funding and scholarly productivity
 - (c) He or she must show unmistakable evidence of national or international recognition by peers.
 - (d) The rank of Professor will not be considered to be a reward for long and acceptable service.

[Return to Index](#)

The question of promotion of research-track faculty may be brought up during any regular promotions cycle. Promotion of research-track faculty will follow University and collegiate "Procedures for Research-Track Promotion Decision Making at The University of Iowa." All recommendations for promotion of research faculty are submitted to the Board of Regents for approval. There is no maximum period of time by which promotion must be achieved in this track.

D. Termination and non-renewal.

1. Termination during the term of the appointment due to end of funding. In cases where a research track faculty member's funding ends before the end of the appointment, the faculty member shall receive three months' written notice prior to termination.
2. Termination during the term of the appointment for reasons other than end of funding — i.e., for cause. Termination of a research track faculty member during the term of his or her appointment may also occur for failure to meet written standards of competence and performance established by the University or the unit.
3. Non-renewal of appointment for reasons other than end of funding. Written notice of non-renewal or the intention not to renew an appointment shall be given according to the following standards:
 - (a) During an initial appointment on the research track, at least three months in advance of the last day of employment.
 - (b) During a second or subsequent appointment on the research track, at least six months in advance of the last day of employment.

4. A decision for termination or non-renewal of research-track faculty is subject to the provisions of [III-29](#) Faculty Dispute Procedures.

[Return to Index](#)

D. Other Non-Tenure Track Appointments

1. Adjunct Clinical Appointments (Non-salaried)

Faculty with non-salaried appointments are persons who are not employees of the University of Iowa, and who make their primary contribution through instruction in the context of their own medical practice (see Appendix VIII). These faculty hold the degrees of MD, DO, DDS or DMD. Individuals who hold other professional degrees and contribute to instruction hold “adjunct” ranks (see Section 2.) There is no limit on the number of such appointments that may be made by the College. The titles of these faculty shall contain the modifier "adjunct clinical," preceding the name of the rank.

a. Adjunct Clinical Instructor

- (1) He or she must hold the doctorate or its equivalent.
- (2) He or she must show promise of ability in service, to include clinical service.
- (3) He or she must show promise of ability to contribute to teaching through the provision of service (See Appendix I). Faculty with non-salaried appointments must commit a significant portion of time to teaching (see Appendix VI and Appendix VIII).
- (4) He or she must have a license to practice medicine in Iowa and must demonstrate competence as a physician. Competence as a physician may be established by evidence of staff privileges at a hospital in Iowa and/or through board certification. Individuals other than physicians involved in the care of patients should meet usual criteria for relevant state licensure requirements.
- (5) The term of appointment is one to three years. Reappointment is not automatic, but requires departmental review of the faculty member's performance and a recommendation based upon the member's performance in teaching and service.

b. Adjunct Clinical Assistant Professor

- (1) He or she must hold the doctorate or its equivalent.
- (2) He or she must show promise of excellent clinical service, as judged by peers and supervisors.
- (3) He or she must show evidence of ability as a teacher (See Appendix I). Faculty with non-salaried appointments must commit a significant portion of time to teaching (see Appendix VIII).
- (4) He or she must have a license to practice medicine in Iowa and must demonstrate competence as a physician. Competence as a physician may be established by evidence of staff privileges at a hospital in Iowa and/or through board certification. Individuals other than physicians involved in the care of patients should meet usual criteria for relevant state licensure requirements.

- (5) The term of appointment is one to three years. Reappointment is not automatic, but requires departmental review of the faculty member's performance and a recommendation based upon the member's performance in teaching.
- (6) There is no maximum period of time by which promotion must be achieved in this track. However, an Assistant Professor may request consideration for promotion at any regular promotions cycle after, in general, the fourth year of appointment.

[Return to Index](#)

c. Adjunct Clinical Associate Professor

- (1) He or she must hold the doctorate or its equivalent.
- (2) He or she must have an acknowledged record of excellent clinical service, as judged by peers.
- (3) He or she must have an acknowledged record of teaching success, including a record of successful direction of the work of students, fellows or residents where applicable (see Appendix I). Faculty with non-salaried appointments must commit a significant portion of time to teaching (see Appendix VIII).
- (4) He or she must show evidence of progress toward a record of professional productivity including professional service (see Appendix IV). Scholarship (see Appendix II) may help satisfy this requirement, but is not required.
- (5) He or she must have a license to practice medicine in Iowa and must demonstrate competence as a physician. Competence as a physician may be established by evidence of staff privileges at a hospital in Iowa and/or through board certification. Individuals other than physicians involved in the care of patients should meet usual criteria for relevant state licensure requirements.
- (6) The term of appointment is one to three years. Reappointment is not automatic, but requires departmental review of the faculty member's performance and a recommendation based upon the member's performance in teaching.
- (7) There is no maximum period of time by which promotion must be achieved in this track. However, an Associate Professor may request consideration for promotion at any regular promotions cycle.

d. Adjunct Clinical Professor

- (1) He or she must hold the doctorate or its equivalent.
- (2) He or she must have an acknowledged record of exemplary patient care, as judged by peers.
- (3) He or she must have an acknowledged record of continued teaching success, including a record of successful direction of the work of students, fellows, or residents where applicable (See Appendix I). Faculty with non-salaried appointments must commit a significant portion of time to teaching (see Appendix VIII).
- (4) He or she must have an established record of professional productivity, and unmistakable evidence or recognition by peers at the state, regional, national, or international level (see Appendix IV and VI). Scholarship (Appendix II) may help satisfy this requirement, but is not required.

- (5) He or she must have a license to practice medicine in Iowa and must demonstrate competence as a physician. Competence as a physician may be established by evidence of staff privileges at a hospital in Iowa and/or through board certification. Individuals other than physicians involved in the care of patients should meet usual criteria for relevant state licensure requirements.
- (6) For faculty with non-salaried appointment, the term of appointment is one to three years. Reappointment is not automatic, but requires departmental review of the faculty member's performance and a recommendation based upon the member's performance in teaching.

[Return to Index](#)

2. Adjunct Appointments

Adjunct appointments are made principally for contributions to teaching and/or research, and are made instead of clinical appointments, for individuals who do not hold an M.D./D.O., or D.D.S. degree.

a. Adjunct Instructor

- (1) Promise of ability as a teacher and/or investigator (See Appendices I and II).
- (2) Completion of the doctorate or its equivalent.
- (3) Commitment of a significant portion of time to teaching and/or research.
- (4) Involvement in a patient care setting if appropriate.
- (5) The term of appointment is one to three years. Reappointment is not automatic, but requires departmental review of the faculty member's performance and a recommendation based upon the member's performance in teaching.

b. Adjunct Assistant Professor

- (1) Promise of ability as a teacher and/or investigator (See Appendices I and II).
- (2) Holder of the doctorate or its equivalent.
- (3) Commitment of a significant portion of time to teaching and/or research.
- (4) Demonstration of good clinical skills in the care of patients if appropriate.
- (5) The term of appointment is one–three years. Reappointment is not automatic, but requires departmental review of the faculty member's performance and a recommendation based upon the member's performance in teaching.
- (6) There is no maximum period of time by which promotion must be achieved in this track. However, an Adjunct Assistant Professor may request consideration for promotion at any regular promotions cycle following the initial three years at this rank.

c. Adjunct Associate Professor

- (1) Acknowledged record of teaching success and/or research (See Appendices I and II).

- (2) Holder of the doctorate or its equivalent
- (3) Unmistakable evidence of recognition by peers.
- (4) Commitment of a significant portion of time to teaching and/or research.
- (5) Demonstration of excellent clinical skills in the care of patients, if appropriate.
- (6) The term of appointment is one–three years. Reappointment is not automatic, but requires departmental review of the faculty member's performance and a recommendation based upon the member's performance in teaching.
- (7) There is no maximum period of time by which promotion must be achieved in this track. However, an Associate Professor may request consideration for promotion at any regular promotions cycle following the initial three years at this rank.

[Return to Index](#)

d. Adjunct Professor

- (1) Acknowledged record of teaching success and/or research. (See Appendices I and II).
- (2) Holder of the doctorate or its equivalent
- (3) Unmistakable evidence of recognition by peers.
- (4) An established record of professional or scholarly productivity (may include papers, reports, seminars, etc.).
- (5) Commitment of a significant portion of time to teaching and/or research.
- (6) Demonstrates outstanding clinical skills in the care of patients, if appropriate.
- (7) The term of appointment is one–three years. Reappointment is not automatic, but requires departmental review of the faculty member's performance and a recommendation based upon the member's performance in teaching.
- (8) There is no maximum period of time by which promotion must be achieved in this track. However, an Associate Professor may request consideration for promotion at any regular promotions cycle following the initial three years at this rank.

[Return to Index](#)

3. Other Instructional Appointments

Appointments to these positions may be made to individuals with academic degrees as listed below. However, individuals with higher academic degrees also may be appointed to these ranks. Appointments are made on an annual basis and may be renewed. Those with M.D./D.O. or Ph.D. degrees ordinarily should not occupy these positions for extended periods, and in the case of the rank of Associate, should not exceed 3 years.

If the individual to be appointed is to be responsible for the medical care of patients, he or she must have a license to practice medicine in Iowa and must demonstrate competence as a physician. Competence as a physician will be established by the hospital staff process of reviewing clinical credentials and granting hospital privileges. Individuals other than physicians involved in the care of patients should meet the criteria established by hospital bylaws and any relevant state licensure requirements.

a. Associate

- (1) He or she must show promise of ability to contribute to teaching through the provision of service (See Appendix I).
- (2) At a minimum, holds a Master's degree or the equivalent.
- (3) Appointed to fill a specific service. Individuals properly qualified may have patient care responsibilities and engage in research.

For individuals with M.D./D.O. or Ph.D. degrees, individual shows potential for appointment to the tenure track on the basis of promise in teaching, research and service. However, appointment to this rank does not guarantee eventual appointment to the tenure track. Individuals in this rank with appropriate qualifications are not precluded from applying for a position in the salaried clinical faculty track.

- (4) Appointments at this rank may be made for up to one year. . Initial appointments exceeding one year may be permitted with the approval of the Associate Dean for Faculty Affairs and Development and the Office of the Provost. Under most circumstances, for individuals with M.D./D.O. or Ph.D. degrees the total time in this rank should not exceed three years.

4. Visiting Faculty

Visiting faculty are appointed with the same criteria as Adjunct or non-salaried Clinical Faculty (See I. C. 1).

[Return to Index](#)

II. Procedure for Appointment

A. The procedure of appointment is as follows:

1. The position is deemed necessary by the Dean, Department Chair, and the Faculty of the Department.
2. The affirmative action process of the University has been carefully satisfied at each step throughout the process.
3. After consultation with the faculty of the department concerning the best qualified person in the pool of such persons and the receipt of letters of recommendation from persons who have been invited in writing to comment by the Department Chair or his/her designee, the Department Chair recommends a candidate to the Dean.
4. If the decision of the Department Chair differs from the majority view of the appropriate faculty, the Chair is expected to explain the reasons for the differing recommendation to the Dean and to the appropriate faculty members of the department.
5. For appointment of faculty members at the levels of Assistant Professor and above there is a second level of review at the college level. This is conducted by the elected Executive Committee of the Faculty, which is advisory to the Dean. The documents and supporting materials recommending appointment are transmitted from the Department Chair to the Dean. These materials are then sent to the Executive Committee, which then advises the Dean by vote according to the procedures established in the Carver College of Medicine Manual of Procedures.

After receiving the advice from the Executive Committee concerning the departmental recommendation, the Dean makes a final determination of the recommendation to be sent to the Provost along with the appropriate documentation.

The Dean is not bound to accept the recommendation of the department or the advice of the Executive Committee. If the Dean's final decision differs from the majority opinion at any of these three levels, the Dean is expected to explain the reasons for the action to the Department Chair, the Executive Committee, and the Provost.

6. Following the Regents Policy on Oral Communication Competence (1/29/91), all new faculty members will be evaluated for communication skills within the first eight weeks of the assignment of teaching duties (see Appendix IX). Completion of TOEFL fulfills this requirement.

III. Joint/Secondary Appointment

The specified term of each joint/secondary appointment, the periodicity of the review, and the criteria to be applied in conducting the review must be clearly stated in writing at the time of the appointment. This appointment document will also include: (a) a description of duties and responsibilities of the appointee in both the primary and the secondary department; (b) the financial obligations of each department; (c) factors other than quality of performance (e.g., changes in interests or programs) that may be considered in determining future status of the appointment; and (d) provision for periodic review and reappointment of the individual using guidelines similar to his or her rank. This document must be completed and approved by all parties (primary and secondary Department Chairs, the Collegiate Deans, and the appointee) prior to the joint appointment.

[Return to Index](#)

IV. Review of Faculty

All faculty members are evaluated periodically.

A. Tenure Track

1. Probationary Tenure Track Faculty

Probationary tenure track faculty should be reviewed annually, with the results reported by the Collegiate Dean to the Provost on the appropriate form. Initiation of the annual review is the responsibility of the Department Chair. It is expected that the annual review will be performed in consultation with the individual faculty member. All probationary tenure-track faculty members must also be reviewed by the tenured departmental faculty members of higher rank during the third and sixth years of service (for tenure track faculty members without clinical responsibilities), during the fourth and eighth years of service (for tenure track faculty members with clinical responsibilities), or one year prior to the termination of the appointment period when the appointment or reappointment period is for less than three or four years, unless an extension of the tenure clock has been requested and granted. <http://www.uiowa.edu/~our/opmanual/iii/10.htm#101> Materials to be submitted by the faculty member and reviewed during this process are outlined in Appendix XIV.

2. Tenured Faculty Members

Individuals with tenure regardless of rank will be reviewed annually by the Department Chair in accordance with departmental annual review policy.

Tenured faculty members will also undergo review by a committee of peers every five years subsequent to their most recent tenure or promotion review (See Appendix XII for procedures). Assessment of performance will be made based on departmental standards that have been developed and disseminated to the faculty. The committee review shall address the standards of performance that have been developed for the specific department. It is the expectation that the

chair will review the results of the five-year peer review with the faculty member and will obviate the need for an additional annual review during the year of the peer review.

Materials to be submitted by the faculty member undergoing five-year peer review and general guidelines for this process are outlined in Appendix XII.

B. Clinical Track (Salaried)

Members of the clinical track faculty undergo two separate types of review, academic and contract. If the academic and contract renewal reviews are scheduled for the same academic year, they may be combined into one document.

1. Academic reviews

Academic reviews are performed for the purpose of assessing the faculty member's academic achievements, progress toward promotion, and future career plans. The criteria to be assessed are those described in the appropriate rank criteria.

All salaried clinical track faculty members at any rank will receive an annual academic review.

Initiation of the annual academic review is the responsibility of the Department Chair. It is expected that the review will be performed in consultation with the individual faculty member. Materials to be submitted by the faculty member and reviewed during this process are outlined in Appendix XIV.

2. Contract reviews

Contract reviews are performed in the final year of a contract period, and are for the purpose of determining if a new contract will be extended, and if so, for determining the length of the new contract. The criteria to be assessed are the faculty member's effectiveness in teaching and clinical service, compliance with the department's written standards of performance, and the department's need for continuation of the position. The review is conducted by the DEO, after consultation with both the clinical track and tenure track departmental faculty members of same or higher rank. The eligible faculty group must vote on the decision to renew. After a positive review, salaried clinical faculty will receive a one- to seven-year appointment commencing at the beginning of the next academic year. Faculty will be reviewed on a schedule commensurate with their appointments according to written standards of competence and performance defined by their unit.

<http://www.uiowa.edu/~our/opmanual/iii/10.htm#109>. The results of all contract renewal reviews should be reported by the Department to the Collegiate Dean and to the Provost on the appropriate form.

[Return to Index](#)

C. Research Track

Members of the research track faculty undergo two separate types of review, academic and contract. If the academic and contract renewal reviews are scheduled for the same academic year, they may be combined into one document.

1. Academic reviews

Academic reviews are performed for the purpose of assessing the faculty member's academic achievements, progress toward promotion, and future career plans. The criteria to be assessed are those described in the appropriate rank criteria.

All salaried research track faculty members at any rank will be reviewed annually by the DEO.

Initiation of the academic review is the responsibility of the Department Chair. It is expected that the review will be performed in consultation with the individual faculty member. These reviews

should be performed in consultation with the research track and tenured departmental faculty members of same or higher rank.

Research track faculty will continue to be reviewed annually. The results of these reviews should be reported by the Collegiate Dean to the Provost on the appropriate form. Materials to be submitted by the faculty member and reviewed during this process are outlined in Appendix XIV.

2. Contract reviews

Contract reviews are performed in the final year of a contract period, and are for the purpose of determining if a new contract will be extended, and if so, for determining the length of the new contract. New contract length is determined by available funding. The criteria to be assessed are the faculty member's effectiveness in research, compliance with the department's written standards of performance, and the department's need for continuation of the position. The review is conducted by the DEO, after consultation with both the research track and tenure track departmental faculty members of higher rank. The eligible faculty group must vote on the decision to renew. The results of all contract renewal reviews along with a reappointment letter or new contract will be submitted to the Dean and to the Provost on the appropriate form.

[Return to Index](#)

D. Department Chairs

Department Chairs will be reviewed concurrently with the review of their respective departments as specified in accordance with Article II, Section 4.6 and Article V, Section 5.0 in the Manual of Procedure of the Carver College of Medicine.

V. Promotion

See documents entitled:

[Procedures for Clinical-track Promotion Decision Making at The University of Iowa Roy J. and Lucille A. Carver College of Medicine; Procedures for Tenure and Promotion Decision Making at the Roy J. and Lucille A. Carver College of Medicine at The University of Iowa, and Procedures for Research-track Promotion Decision Making at The University of Iowa Roy J. and Lucille A. Carver College of Medicine can be found at http://www.medicine.uiowa.edu/facultyaffairs/procedures/](http://www.medicine.uiowa.edu/facultyaffairs/procedures/)

[Return to Index](#)

Appendix I

Operational Criteria for Teaching

[Return to Index](#)

Teaching includes any of the following activities:

1. Teaching of students and post-graduate students, residents or fellows in the classroom, laboratory, or other specific area of expertise, etc.
2. Direction of graduate research.
3. Teaching of students and post-graduate students, residents, or fellows in the clinical setting.
4. Curriculum development: development of objectives, materials and methods, methods of evaluation, etc.
5. Student, resident, or fellow advising and counseling; student, resident, or fellow recruiting.
6. Facilitation of teaching efforts of the faculty, e.g., helping to assess the value of teaching objectives, or of methods of evaluation, providing content material for courses of study, etc.
7. Serving as a member of education, curriculum, or admissions committees.
8. Serving as a faculty instructor in continuing medical education activities.
9. Organization of a new teaching program, or integration of teaching effort within or between departments.
10. Development of teaching techniques.
11. Development of short courses or "workshops" for students, residents and fellows, postgraduate professionals, and lay public.
12. Development of teaching materials for any medium, including web based venues.

Evidence of the quality of a faculty member's efforts in teaching must come from student, resident or fellow evaluations, peer evaluations, teaching awards, recognition by faculty or professional organizations, etc.. For example:

1. Faculty evaluation of the objectives, methods and materials of courses that have been designed and taught by the individual.
2. Student, resident, or fellow evaluation of the performance of the individual.
3. Evaluation of teaching effectiveness by faculty who have taught with the individual or have observed the individual's teaching skills.
4. Evaluation concerning the performance of students, residents, and fellows taught by the individual whenever possible and appropriate.
5. Development of teaching materials, such as the preparation of a syllabus, book of procedures, course of study, laboratory manual, development of testing procedures or other modes of evaluation. This would include educational efforts directed at students, residents and fellows, postgraduate professionals, and the lay public.
6. Publication of peer reviewed educational research.
7. Invitations to teach, or to give educational presentations, by other departments, or outside the institution.
8. Published reviews of educational materials developed by the faculty member.

APPENDIX II

Operational Definition of Scholarship

[Return to Index](#)

Scholarship requires the use of innovative ideas in the pursuit of knowledge. The quality of such an activity is best determined by critical review by one's peers and, to have an impact, the information must be disseminated. This is best accomplished by publication in appropriate journals, monographs, or books, and by presenting scientific papers and exhibits at scholarly meetings. Such activities provide the most compelling evidence of scholarship.

The following are methods by which scholarship is demonstrated (Relative importance is not necessarily indicated by item number).

1. Publication of articles in professional journals. Greater importance will be attributed to publications in journals that require a critical review, but all publications will be evaluated.
2. Publication of books, monographs, manuals, on paper or in electronic media. Material in these formats should advance the field in order to be considered scholarship; materials produced primarily for student teaching should be listed under teaching.
3. Invited or submitted presentations of original scientific data at major national or international meetings, or at major institutions or research organizations.
4. Demonstration of a sustained, externally funded, independent research program.
5. Leadership and/or organization of clinical trials.

Academic creativity (scholarship) may also manifest itself in teaching and professional activities (see Appendix I and IV), and for these activities to be considered evidence of scholarly activity, they must be innovative and/or published or presented.

[Return to Index](#)

APPENDIX III

Operational Criteria for Academic Service

[Return to Index](#)

A commitment of Service to the Department, College, University and the community is expected of all individuals. Although the degree of this commitment may vary, the amount and significance of the contribution by an individual can be documented. The following are examples of academic service:

1. Academic Service to Department, College, or University:
 - a) Committees
 - b) Administrative responsibilities
 - c) Serving on graduate student or fellow thesis committees
 - d) Advisor to student organizations
 - e) Contributing to professional growth and development of junior colleagues (mentoring)

2. Academic Service outside the University:
 - a) Journal editing
 - b) Reviewing journal articles
 - c) Reviewing grant proposals
 - d) Serving as juror of exhibitions
 - e) Serving on accrediting agencies or boards
 - f) Holding offices in professional academic organizations
 - g) Honors and awards from serving on committees of professional academic organizations
 - h) Serving on professional/technical committees

3. Academic Service to the community (should be professionally related)
 - a) Guest lectures
 - b) Preparation of materials for paraprofessionals

[Return to Index](#)

APPENDIX IV

Operational Criteria for Professional Service

[Return to Index](#)

Members of either the tenure track or the non-tenure clinical track may contribute significantly in professional service. Activities in this category are used as one kind of evidence of professional productivity for salaried clinical track members.

Professional service includes contributions in the clinical setting beyond the provision of direct clinical service, and other professional activities not included in the categories of teaching, scholarship, and academic service. Included in this category, but not limited to these, are the following:

1. Development and evaluation of new forms of treatment, new surgical procedures or innovative diagnostic techniques.
2. Organization of a new, or reorganization of an existing, clinical service. Specific examples might include the development of a new inpatient referral service or treatment facility, reorganization of a critical care unit, or reorganization of an outpatient department.
3. Innovation or improvement of an existing clinical service, as evidenced by addition of new services, significant increase in the volume of patients, better patient outcomes, increased revenue production.
4. Effective participation and/or leadership in professional organizations.
5. Effective participation on and leadership of hospital committees.
6. Public or government service.
7. Presentations at professional meetings related to any aspect of the faculty member's professional productivity (except presentations which fall under Appendix II Scholarship #4).

[Return to Index](#)

APPENDIX V

Clinical Service

[Return to Index](#)

Members of either the tenure track or the non-tenure clinical track may contribute significantly in clinical service. Most difficult is the estimation and quantification of clinical skills and clinical service for the practicing clinician in the college.

Evidence of quality may be derived from one or more of the following:

1. Departmental and/or interdepartmental assessment by his or her peers.
2. Quality assurance and/or risk management assessments.
3. Patient satisfaction assessments collected by the institution.
4. Assessments from clinicians who utilize him or her as a consultant.
5. Receipt of clinical awards.
6. Evidence that a unique clinical service is provided.

[Return to Index](#)

Operational Guidelines for Tenure Track

These guidelines assume that the Department Chair apprises the faculty member of the criteria for promotion before or soon after appointment, that the quality of his or her academic performance has been periodically reviewed, and that the findings and their implications have been discussed with him or her.

The rank of Assistant Professor does not confer tenure, and the performance during that period should determine whether promotion is justified. Because the ranks of Associate Professor and Professor carry with them the University commitment of tenure, the future leadership of the departments and Carver College of Medicine is determined by the quality of such appointments.

1. ". . . rank will not be considered merely as a reward for long and acceptable service." (*University of Iowa Operations Manual*)
2. The maximum time in probationary status before promotion to Associate Professor with tenure is 6 years. This time may vary considerably and may be shortened for performance that is truly exceptional. It is clear, however, that time in rank cannot be the primary factor related to any promotion/tenure decision. It is strongly encouraged that junior faculty are provided with sufficient time for scholarly pursuit.
3. Recognition. One of the qualifications for promotion to Professor is unmistakable evidence of recognition by peers at the national level, international level or both. Such evidence can be derived from: invitations to organize, chair or participate in panels, symposia or conferences; invitations to serve on the panels of the NIH or other granting bodies; invitations to serve as an editor to scholarly journals; election to membership in societies stipulating meritorious professional achievement; election to offices in national or international societies; letters written by peers outside the University; successful competition for awards, prizes, grants, or contracts; invited lectureships; invitations to be a visiting professor at other universities.
4. In considering the published evidence of academic creativity, where papers have many authors, the contribution of the candidate to the work should be defined and determined.
5. The quality and importance of published work should be considered more important than the number of publications.
6. Interdisciplinary research. The college recognizes that interdisciplinary research is becoming the norm rather than the exception. This type of research, which is associated with multi-author publications, can lead to questions about the independence of each individual author, particularly junior authors. In order to clearly identify an investigator's role in interdisciplinary research, annotation of the bibliography, in which the faculty member clearly describes her/his contribution to the work, is critical. Letters from other members of the research group can be used to identify the unique contributions of the investigator, and to indicate the level of contribution, on the spectrum from marginal to substantial. These letters would serve as supportive documents for the dossier, but would not replace the required independent review by outside neutral evaluators.
7. In considering the evidence for promotion, primary importance should be given to the productivity shown during the period of current rank. Contributions made before appointment to the current rank are of secondary importance because they forecast less about future productivity.
8. Consideration for promotion in clinical departments includes assessment of clinical skills and patient care as well as assessment of teaching and research. Examining the sum of the faculty member's contributions in teaching, research, and patient care is traditional in an evaluation of the clinical teacher, and strength in one area may compensate for less strength in another.
9. Decision regarding a change in track (from tenure to clinical) should take place prior to the end of the 5th year in rank if at all possible. Exceptions will be considered on a case by case basis if accompanied by appropriate justification. At the time of track change, a new offer letter will be provided, including information related to promotion criteria in the clinical track.
10. A person may be appointed to the tenure track only once.

Appendix VII

Operational Guidelines for the Salaried Clinical Faculty Track

[Return to Index](#)

These guidelines assume that the Department Chair apprises the faculty member of the criteria for promotion before or soon after appointment, that the quality of his or her performance has been periodically reviewed, and that the findings and their implications have been discussed with him or her.

Appointments in this track do not confer tenure; however, promotions through the ranks from instructor to professor are available based on specific criteria.

1. ". . . rank will not be considered merely as a reward for long and acceptable service." (*University of Iowa Operations Manual*)
2. Promotion is not a requirement of continued employment, and the faculty member may remain at the initial rank indefinitely. Time in rank cannot be the primary factor related to any promotion decision. Review for possible promotion does not need to be confined to the final year of a contract, but rather, can be performed in any year of a contract. The end date of a contract is not altered if promotion is achieved in a year other than the final year of the contract.
3. Recognition. One of the qualifications for promotion to Professor is unmistakable evidence of recognition by peers at the state, regional, national, or international level. Such evidence can be derived from: invitations to organize, chair or participate in panels, symposia or conferences; invitations to serve as an editor or on an editorial board of a scholarly journal; election to membership in societies stipulating meritorious professional achievement; election to offices in state, regional, national or international societies; letters written by peers outside the University, including from referring physicians; successful competition for awards, prizes, grants, or contracts; invited lectureships; or invitations to be a visiting professor outside the Carver College of Medicine.
4. Leadership. A highly desirable qualification for promotion to Professor is evidence of leadership in one or more spheres of professional productivity. The term "leadership" is to be interpreted broadly, and is specifically not confined to formally defined administrative positions such as division director, clerkship director, etc, but to include the broader meaning of "having commanding authority or influence." Evidence of leadership can be derived from: serving as chair of committees and task forces for the institution, in disciplinary groups, or relevant governmental or private groups; leading specific time limited projects to a successful outcome in any of the spheres of professional productivity; serving as principal investigator of research projects; receipt of leadership awards, etc. Evidence of leadership in the faculty member's clinical discipline could include selection to a prestigious board for a state, regional or national society or organization; frequent requests to make CME presentations outside the local area, etc.
5. The quality and importance of professional productivity should be considered more important than the number of activities.
6. In considering the evidence for promotion, primary importance should be given to the productivity shown during the period of current rank. Contributions made before appointment to the current rank are of secondary importance because they forecast less about future productivity.

[Return to Index](#)

APPENDIX VIII

Operational Guidelines for the Non-salaried Clinical Faculty Track

[Return to Index](#)

These guidelines assume that the Department Chair apprises the faculty member of the criteria for promotion before or soon after appointment, that the quality of his or her performance has been periodically reviewed, and that the findings and their implications have been discussed with him or her.

Appointments in this track do not confer tenure, however, promotions through the ranks from instructor to professor are available based on specific criteria.

1. The major criteria for appointment in this track is a commitment to devote a significant portion of time to teaching. This requirement may be satisfied by participation in University of Iowa sponsored educational programs in the community or at University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics. Faculty at the instructor level should generally commit between 25 and 150 hours per year. Faculty at the assistant professor level and above should generally commit more than 150 hours per year.
2. ". . . rank will not be considered merely as a reward for long and acceptable service." (*University of Iowa Operations Manual*)
3. Promotion is not a requirement of continued service, and the faculty member may remain at the initial rank indefinitely. Time in rank cannot be the primary factor related to any promotion decision.
4. Recognition. One of the qualifications for promotion to Professor is unmistakable evidence of recognition by peers at the local, regional, national, or international level. Such evidence can be derived from: invitations to organize, chair or participate in panels, symposia or conferences; invitations to serve as an editor or on an editorial board of a scholarly journal; election to membership in societies stipulating meritorious professional achievement; election to offices in local, regional, national or international societies; leadership activities at local or regional institutions; leadership of teaching programs at local institutions; letters written by peers; successful competition for awards, prizes, grants, or contracts; invited lectureships; or invitations to be a visiting professor.
5. Consideration for promotion in this track includes assessment of clinical skills and patient care as well as assessment of teaching and if available, professional productivity.
6. In considering the evidence for promotion, primary importance should be given to the productivity shown during the period of current rank.
7. Certain privileges accompany non-salaried clinical track faculty appointments. These include the following:
 - a) A University of Iowa identification card signifying their rank.
 - b) Medical and University library privileges.
 - c) Admission to Carver College of Medicine sponsored post-graduate courses with no or reduced fee.
 - d) Invitation to attend Carver College of Medicine and departmental conferences.
8. There is no limit on the number of appointments that may be made by the College in this track.

[Return to Index](#)

APPENDIX IX

Carver College of Medicine Policy on Oral Communication Skills for Faculty and Teaching Assistants and Teaching Proficiency Standards for Teaching Assistants (7/1/1991)

[Return to Index](#)

The Carver College of Medicine will comply with the University of Iowa Policies on Oral Communication Skills for Faculty and Teaching Assistants and Teaching Proficiency Standards for Teaching Assistants by:

1. evaluation of teaching communication effectiveness as part of the documentation in support of fulfillment of faculty/staff/student teaching responsibilities;
2. evaluation of a teaching assistant's knowledge of subject material, the ability to evaluate student performance and facility with appropriate instructional materials and equipment at the end of each semester of teaching by the faculty advisor;
3. evaluation of communication effectiveness of new faculty and all teaching assistants by the course director or faculty advisor within 8 weeks of beginning teaching;
4. participation, as necessary, in the teaching assistant certification program for students whose first language is not English;
5. evaluation of teaching communication by student via the S.P.O.T. student evaluation of teaching forms or other programmatically derived forms;
6. informing students of existing protocols and giving careful consideration to student input regarding these evaluation procedures; and
7. instituting a procedure for dealing with problems identified in any of the above evaluation areas for faculty/staff/student teachers who may require greater proficiency in oral communication skills. Concerns and complaints should be communicated to the course director. Further communication problems would then be directed through the Department Chair of the course being taught, and then to the Office of the Dean. The Associate Dean for Student Affairs and Curriculum would receive concerns related to medical student courses and the Associate Dean for Academic Affairs would receive concerns on any other course taught by the College.

[Return to Index](#)

APPENDIX IXa

University of Iowa Policy on Oral Communication Competence

ORAL COMMUNICATION COMPETENCE OF INSTRUCTIONAL STAFF

(Regents 1/29/91; amended 9/93)

[Return to Index](#)

13.1 POLICY IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

For the purpose of implementing the Regents' policy on oral communication competence each department or program must prepare a plan incorporating the following elements.

- a. New Appointments.
 - (1) Assessment of relevant communication skills as part of the documentation in support of filling any faculty or teaching assistant position.
 - (2) Confirmation that all prospective faculty and teaching assistants exhibit communication skills adequate to any duties to which they are assigned.
- b. Periodic Assessment and Evaluation.
 - (1) Provision for evaluation of all faculty members and teaching assistants by the end of any semester in which they have sufficient direct contact with students to render such evaluation meaningful. This procedure must include, at a minimum, a mechanism for written student evaluation.
 - (2) Provision for a preliminary assessment, within the first eight weeks of the assignment of teaching duties, of all faculty members and teaching assistants who have not previously taught at the University.
 - (3) Provision for the assessment of communication skills as part of all peer reviews of teaching effectiveness of faculty. In particular, adequate communication skills will continue to be among the criteria for promotion and tenure of teaching faculty.
 - (4) Provision for informing students of departmental, collegiate, and University protocols concerning student complaints.
 - (5) A procedure for dealing with problems revealed by the evaluations described above.

This plan will be filed with the appropriate dean or administrative officer as well as with the Provost. It will be the responsibility of the departmental executive officer or program officer to implement this policy and to ensure that adequate standards of oral communication competence are maintained in the unit.

[Return to Index](#)

APPENDIX IXb

TEACHING PROFICIENCY STANDARDS FOR TEACHING ASSISTANTS (Regents 1/29/91)

[Return to Index](#)

14.1 PURPOSE

For the purpose of implementing the Regents' policy on teaching proficiency standards for teaching assistants (Board of Regents document Regents Policy on Teaching Proficiency Standards for Teaching Assistants, 1-29-91), each department or program will prepare a plan incorporating the following elements:

- a. A procedure for selecting and training all teaching assistants.
- b. A procedure for evaluating all teaching assistants for teaching proficiency by the end of each academic period in which they are employed in a teaching capacity. This procedure should allow for systematic evaluation by students and by faculty advisors. For teaching assistants who have not previously taught at the University, a preliminary assessment should also occur within the first eight weeks of the first semester in which they are assigned teaching duties.
- c. A procedure for providing additional instructional assistance for teaching assistants when warranted. This procedure should provide for the possibility of assistance in the areas of teaching methods, evaluation of student performance, oral and written communication, and subject matter.
- d. A procedure for addressing concerns raised by students regarding the teaching proficiency of their teaching assistants.

This plan will be filed with the appropriate dean or administrative officer as well as with the Provost. The Office of the Provost will provide each teaching assistant with a handbook on teaching.

14.2 IMPLEMENTATION

It will be the responsibility of the Departmental Executive Officer or Program Director to implement this policy and to ensure that adequate standards of performance by teaching assistants are maintained in the unit and, in particular, to ensure that the unit is in full compliance with the regulations involving certification of teaching assistants whose first language is not English as described in: English Proficiency Certification of Graduate Teaching Assistants Whose First Language is Not English (2-2-88).

[Return to Index](#)

Appendix X

Standards of Clinical Competencies

[Return to Index](#)

Standards of competence and performance for salaried clinical track faculty, as specified by the University of Iowa Operations Manual Section III.10.9.d, and the College of Medicine Policies and Procedures Concerning Faculty Appointments, Evaluation and Promotion Revision 10, Approved by Provost 5/19/95, Updated as approved 8/15/95, Approved by Provost 6/10/02

In order to maintain continued eligibility for employment in the College of Medicine, the salaried clinical track faculty member must:

1. Maintain a license to practice in the state of Iowa, if applicable.
2. Maintain current eligibility and the appropriate credentials in University of Iowa and external organizations necessary to fully participate in Faculty Practice Plan endorsed clinical activities.
3. If a member of the Faculty Practice Plan, abide by the policies and by-laws of the Plan.
4. Demonstrate competence as a clinician or provider of other services. Competence as a physician will be established by the hospital staff process of reviewing clinical credentials and granting hospital privileges. Individuals other than physicians involved in the care of patients should meet the criteria established by hospital bylaws and any relevant state licensure requirements. This requirement is the same as for other salaried faculty who provide clinical service, including faculty with tenure track appointments (*see* College of Medicine Policies and Procedures Concerning Faculty Appointments, Evaluation and Promotion, e.g. I.A.1.d).
5. Complete medical records and other clinical documentation in a timely manner, as specified by the UIHC (and published annually in the *UIHC Formulary and Handbook*).
6. Perform assigned clinical responsibilities as prospectively agreed upon on an annual basis by the faculty member and department, or any other administrative unit (e.g. Clinical Cancer Center, Joint Outreach Office, etc.) providing salary support in which the faculty member has clinical duties.
7. Perform assigned teaching responsibilities as prospectively agreed upon on an annual basis by the faculty member and department, and maintain a record of satisfactory teaching quality, as documented by the evaluation of peers and students.
8. Comply with section III.15 of the University of Iowa Operations Manual (Professional Ethics and Academic Responsibility) as well as all other University policies relevant to faculty activities and behavior (e.g. II.4.1 University Policy on Sexual Harassment; II.18 Conflict of Interest Policy).
9. Comply with any additional written standards of the Department in which the faculty member is appointed, as long as these have been approved by the Dean, and as long as these were in effect at the time of appointment, or at the time of subsequent contract renewal of the affected faculty member.

Approved, 8/25/97 Executive Committee; 9/4/97 Medical Council; Reference update 10/5/04

[Return to Index](#)

Appendix XI

Operational Criteria for Professional Productivity

[Return to Index](#)

Professional Productivity requires the use of innovative ideas in the pursuit of knowledge. The quality of such an activity is best determined by critical review by one's peers and, to have an impact, the information must be disseminated.

The following are methods by which professional productivity may be demonstrated.

1. Development of new curriculum development
2. Organization of a new teaching program.
3. Development of innovative teaching techniques.
4. Development of new short courses or "workshops" for students, residents and fellows, postgraduate professionals, and lay public.
5. Development of teaching materials for any medium, including web based venues.
6. Development and evaluation of new forms of treatment, new surgical procedures or innovative diagnostic techniques.
7. Organization of a new, or reorganization of an existing, clinical service.
8. Innovation or improvement of an existing clinical service.
9. Chapters
10. Case Reports
11. CME Presentations (although this cannot be the majority of the activity)

Professional productivity may also manifest itself as teaching, traditional scholarship or professional service (Appendix I, II and IV respectively)

[Return to Index](#)

Appendix XII

Procedural Guidelines

Carver College of Medicine Five Year Peer Review Process

[Return to Index](#)

As per the University of Iowa's Policy on Review of Tenured Faculty Members (III-10.7) the Carver College of Medicine shall conduct five year peer reviews of tenured faculty members. This five year review process is considered to be both formative and summative, with the desire for acknowledgment of goals achieved and expectations for continued growth. Faculty members are exempted from their scheduled five year review if (a) they are being reviewed for promotion to a higher rank during the year of the scheduled review (b) they are within one year of announced retirement or are on phased retirement or (c) they serve as DEO, Assistant Dean, Associate Dean or Dean.

Procedural Guidelines:

1. Each department shall develop and disseminate standards of performance for tenured faculty members in their unit.
2. Each department shall designate a committee of no less than three (3) individuals at or above the rank of those being reviewed. The promotion and tenure committee in the department may serve in this capacity. DEOs and other academic administrators may not serve on peer review committees.
3. The membership of this committee shall be made known to the department. Should a faculty member object to any member of the review committee, a written statement should be given to the DEO, with reasons for the objection.
4. If a department does not have the appropriate number of faculty at the appropriate rank individuals from other relevant departments within the college may be asked to serve in this capacity. Approval for external additions to this committee should be requested from the Associate Dean for Faculty. In addition, departments may choose to invite external consultants with specific expertise to provide confidential faculty review to the DEO.
5. Materials required for the review shall be submitted to the department no later than September 1 of the academic year in which the review is to occur.
6. Materials to be submitted by the faculty member undergoing review include but are not limited to:
 - a. An updated CV in the College of Medicine format.
 - b. A personal statement (limit three pages) outlining accomplishments over the preceding five (5) years in the three areas of academic performance: teaching, research and service. If the faculty member has clinical activities, accomplishments in that arena should also be included.
 - c. A statement regarding future plans (limit one page total) in each of the areas noted above, as appropriate.
 - d. Copies of manuscripts over the preceding five (5) years, if applicable
 - e. Copies of teaching materials from the last five (5) years (e.g., syllabi; power point presentations, etc).
 - f. Copies of teaching evaluations from the last five (5) years.
 - g. Copies of grant reviews for recent new submissions/renewals, as appropriate.
7. Materials to be reviewed by the Peer Review Committee include but are not limited to:
 - a. Materials submitted by the faculty (see above).
 - b. Materials that may be held centrally that are appropriate for the committee to review (e. g. teaching evaluations, evaluation of clinical performance).
 - c. Annual reviews, if such exist.
 - d. Previous peer reviews, if such exists.
8. The peer review committee is expected to develop a report based on its review. This report should be completed no later than February 1 of the review year. This report is to be submitted to the DEO for review.
9. Simultaneously, the report is provided to the faculty member for review and comment. The faculty member has ten (10) calendar days to respond to the review to correct factual errors or to rebut the findings of the committee.

[Return to Index](#)

10. If no further action is required the report is placed in the faculty member's personnel file, along with any responses received from the faculty member. The outcome of the peer review is confidential and confined to the faculty member, the review committee, the DEO, the Dean, others directed by the faculty member and, in special circumstances, the Provost.

In the event the committee determines that the reviewed faculty performance is below the standards set by the department:

1. The committee shall communicate those concerns to the DEO through its written review.
2. The Dean or his/her designee and the DEO shall develop a written development plan for the faculty member based on the individualized faculty portfolio and taking into consideration the performance standards of the department. This written plan shall include a timetable for evaluation of acceptable progress as well as potential consequences for not meeting expectations in the timeline set forth.
3. Progress towards these goals shall be monitored through the Annual Review process, with written feedback regarding progress provided to the faculty member.
4. If the plan is not acceptable to the faculty member, s/he shall provide a written justification for not agreeing to the plan within ten (10) calendar days of receipt of the plan.
5. The plan and the faculty member's justification for inability to accept the plan shall be presented to the Provost, who will make the final determination.
6. If the faculty member believes that there are grounds for grievance, then the faculty member may seek redress of the grievance within the scope and framework of the Faculty Dispute Procedures (III-29.6).

[Return to Index](#)

Appendix XIII

Materials for annual review process for clinical track, research track and probationary tenure track faculty

1. Materials that must be reviewed include:

- a. Current curriculum vitae and supporting documents (see below).
- b. Personal statement that covers teaching, scholarship or professional productivity, service, and professional growth. Teaching is not required for the research track
- c. Other materials the faculty member believes are relevant to the review.
- d. A verifiable personal assessment of teaching, scholarship or professional productivity, as appropriate for the faculty track, and service activities since the last review (see 3 below)
- e. Reports of evaluations by the faculty member's division director if the department is so organized.
- f. Statement by department chair that describes circumstances under which the faculty member has functioned during the reviewed period.

2. Other materials that may be reviewed at departmental discretion include:

- a. Letters of evaluation from persons at other institutions that were solicited for appointment or promotion consideration during the review period.
- b. Evaluations from learners at all levels, where appropriate

3. Personal Assessment

Each candidate shall prepare a document assessing his or her progress toward achieving or maintaining the standards of teaching, service, and scholarship or professional productivity appropriate for the academic rank and track (See Appendices I through VIII), together with documentation of his or her progress and an outline of future efforts in these areas. Teaching self-assessment is not required for the research track. The evaluation, documentation, and outline will be attached to the CV, as this is required for consideration by the Department, Executive Committee and Medical Council.

4. Process of Review

The updated curriculum vitae and supporting documents are submitted to the Department Chair or designee by the faculty member to be evaluated. The evaluation involves critical review of the teaching, scholarship, or professional productivity, and service contributions of the faculty person in light of the criteria for the relevant faculty track. A written report is prepared on the candidate. The review process ends with the sharing of the written statement with the faculty member. If a written peer committee report is prepared, it should also be shared with the faculty member. The individual faculty member may present a written response that becomes a part of the permanent record. In the event that there are too few peer faculty members in a department or program to conduct a review of a faculty member, the review shall be carried out by an *ad hoc* committee appointed by the Dean.